



# Conficker – survey report

CENTR GA, Malta 2009

conducted by Secretariat,  
presented by Bartosiewi.cz



# Information about Conficker

- **ICANN** and **CENTR** played the important role in distributing the information on Conficker;
- **61% ccTLDs got information from ICANN;**
- 43% from CENTR;
- 39% from media;
- 39% from other sources;
- what is interesting, national authorities were **not the source** of information for ccTLDs.



## Actions taken...

- The registry set up **monitoring tools: 46%**
  - The **names were blocked \*: 32%**
  - Additional checks of registration names from the Conficker list: 25%
  - Information on potential DDoS attack given to the holders of the names from Conficker list: 21%
  - Information on registrants names on Conficker given to 3<sup>rd</sup> parties: 11%
- \*) blocked or registered for research institutions*



# Communication about Conficker

- 21% of registries had communicated about Conficker to the media (tv, newspapers, RSS channels etc).
- 11% of registries received a request for information from the media;
- 18% of registries took the initiative to inform about Conficker.



# Cooperation with 3rd parties

The registries in the survey cooperated with the following third parties on the Conficker issue:

## **international:**

- other TLDs – 29%
- ICANN – 29%
- Support Intelligence – 21%
- CYMRU – 11%
- other CERTs' – 11%

## **national:**

- national CERTs – 21%
- national law enforcement agencies' – 7%